

MOTHS TO A FLAME



**A FINAL WARNING TO THOSE IN ANY WAY
CONNECTED TO PATRIOTIC ALTERNATIVE**

NICK GRIFFIN

CONTENTS

Abstract

“Shades of the Prison House”

PA’s Iron March Mentors

Who is Charles Chapel?

Kenny Smith and the MSM’s Blind Eyes

Collett’s Straw Men

Gaming or Grooming?

Kai Murros – the Kiss of Death

Sam Melia

Proscription

The Biggest Danger of All

The Way Out

What Mark Collet Should Do

What Can PA Members Do?

Appendix 1 – Freedom of Information Request Response

Appendix 2 – Collett’s Response

ABSTRACT

This study is an urgent warning for everyone associated with Patriotic Alternative. It sets out the extensive evidence that PA has been made an easy target for proscription by the Home Secretary. Particular attention is paid to the ideas and ideologues promoted by senior PA administrators, Kenny Smith and Steve Blake. It explains the extraordinary danger posed by proscription not just to current members of PA, but to the entire nationalist movement for many years ahead. It reviews the previous experience of National Action and explores the key sections of the Terrorism Act. This study uses only material already in the public domain. As such, it was all available to TV company researchers, who could have used it to produce damning 'documentaries' making a convincing case for proscription, which the political elite would make no effort to assess fairly or resist. The study uses the history of the key players involved to encourage readers to ask themselves why mainstream media critics have missed this 'open goal'. It urges them to draw the obvious conclusion, and then to take urgent steps to press Mark Collett to extricate the organisation from the trap into which its collective leadership have led it. Failing that, it explains what individuals can do to protect themselves.

“SHADES OF THE PRISON HOUSE”

The media coverage of the arrest and extradition of PA Fitness Club leader Kris Kearns has once more focused attention on the possibility that Patriotic Alternative could be proscribed. The arrests of a number of much smaller PA fish have only added to the speculation.

With his carelessly extreme social media comments, the distinctly dodgy insistence that young lads should send him half-naked pictures, and his 'alleged' links to the Dubai-based Kinehan drugs gang, it is understandable that Kearns is a big cause for concern among PA members at present. The steady rise in arrests and adverse media headlines over the distribution of PA leaflets is also worrying many.

Yet the biggest danger to the organisation and all its members comes from the concerted and consistent actions of its collective leadership. PA is liable to be proscribed not primarily because of the juvenile rantings of Kris Kearns on Telegram, or due to the criminality of individual members. It is even in less danger of being outlawed on account of the leaflets it produces, regardless of how much various media outlets and politicians call them 'sickening', 'racist poison' and other hysterical epithets.

When PA has outlived its usefulness as a honey trap, or when white sacrificial lambs are needed to 'balance the books' when a big gang of Islamist terror suspects is busted, it will be proscribed because it is *institutionally extreme*. It promotes books, videos and ideas

which contravene Britain's notoriously wide anti-terror laws, and can be readily presented as making it a continuation of the already proscribed 'white supremacist terror group' National Action.

Collett certainly had close connections with National Action, with former NA members saying that he only left the organisation when its founders turned down his proposal that he should become its leader.



In March 2017, just three months after National Action was banned, Collett was filmed – alongside long-time NA mentor Larry Nunn – aka Max Musson - and former NA members including Garron Helm and James Mac – at a 'far-right training camp' held in the Derbyshire village of Youlegeave. Despite being married to a coloured woman, Nunn helped to fund and train NA in the early days, and was a key figure assuring its young leadership and activists that they would not be banned despite their increasingly extreme rhetoric.

The still (above) from the ITV under-cover report unmistakably shows Collett, in his favourite gym-garb, acting as a trainer during a martial arts session during the weekend. Just like Channel 4 some time later, the institutionally anti-white ITV took the extraordinary step of protecting Collett by concealing his identity. Similarly, the police

and CPS made the ostensibly surprising decision not to prosecute the attendees for what could easily have been presented as the illegal continuation of National Action.

Various former NA members, including co-founder Alex Davies, Sam Melia, Kris Kearns and Jacob Bewick have played very active roles in Patriotic Alternative. Kearns has boasted that he left NA “one minute before” the proscription notice came into force. NA co-founder Alex Davies joined PA within a year of it being formed and was a regular writer for its website and an active member of its South Wales unit until his June 2022 jailing for continuing the banned organisation.

Now, it may well be unfair to condemn young men for having belonged to an organisation which was legal at the time, but that’s not the point. What matters is that PA’s collective leadership has, over the last year in particular, done various things which would make it very easy for the Home Secretary to declare that the organisation is an illegal continuation of an already forbidden one, and to add it to the proscription list.


The fact that this has not already been done – and that Channel 4 pointedly refused to use this to hammer PA in its recent limp-wristed attack-cum-puff-piece – does not mean that it will not be done. It simply means that the Powers That Be don’t want to do it *yet*.

The same pattern of the mass media ignoring open goals, and the Home Secretary refraining from using evidence which could easily ‘justify’ proscribing PA, can be seen very clearly in relation to other publicly-available and easily verifiable facts about the organisation.

At one level, this study is primarily a catalogue of the things which supposedly hostile media outlets could have used in its supposed hatchet job on PA, but failed to do so. The significance of the fanatically and institutionally anti-white ITV and Channel 4 deliberately pulling their punches in such a way can be lost on no nationalist who bothers to think about it. Remember the names that crop up as we go back a few years, because we will find them again when we return to Patriotic Alternative shortly.

Since the future proscription of PA will almost inevitably be based at least in part on the ‘continuity National Action’ argument, let us begin by examining NA. While some of its young founding members came, along with Collett and Musson, from the British National Party, even the most hostile System commentators have correctly portrayed this as having come about due to their frustration over the fact that the actions of various System agencies and allies managed to block and break the BNP’s constitutional and legitimate electoral challenge.

Investigations into the origins of National Action’s ‘dangerous radicalism’ have homed in instead on several ideological strains and tendencies. The ‘credit’ for the development of NA’s ideas, propaganda and tactics has been given primarily to the now defunct online forum, Iron March. This was founded and run by a group of hardline ‘white nationalists’ from outside of the UK.



PA's IRON MARCH MENTORS

Principle among these were Uzbek-Russian nationalist Alisher Mukhitdinov (pictured above) and Canadian neo-Nazi, Gabriel Sohier Chaput. Mukhitdinov founded the Iron March forum in 2011, writing under the username Alexander Slavros.

Chaput contributed to and moderated the forum under the name 'Zeiger', with his real identity only emerging when the French-Canadian IT consultant was exposed by the *Montreal Gazette*, which described him as "a prolific propagandist and leader at the heart of a resurgence of fascist activity both on and offline in recent years."

IronMarch.org became what *The Sun* called "Facebook for Nazis." The forum's users organised a number of neo-Nazi groups, including the Atomwaffen Division in the USA and the Antipodean Resistance in Australia. Those groups committed five murders in the United States and attempted to carry out a terrorist attack in Canada.

Iron March supported/affiliated with nine groups worldwide, including the Ukrainian neo-Nazi Azov Battalion, US groups involved in the disastrous (for the 'right') Charlottesville protests, and National Action.

National Action was founded in 2013 in the UK by a group of regular commentators on Iron March, which helped to bring its founders together. Prime among these was

Benjamin Raymond (“Daddy Terror” or “Benjamin Noyles”), who was joined by his online friend, Alex Davies.

National Action offered a fantasy of a violently revolutionary strategy alongside some sort of decentralised, low-commitment insurgency. It specialised in ‘edgy’ memes and downloadable posters and stickers, while also holding several high-profile demonstrations by black-clad supporters.

A month after the June 2015 conviction of NA member Zack Davies for attempting to murder a Sikh man, Benjamin Raymond personally met Atomwaffen co-founder Brandon Russell, in London. The two took a photo together outside Buckingham Palace. Russell was sentenced in 2018 to five years in prison for possession of explosive materials.


Another National Action member, Chris Lythgoe, later convicted for membership of NA, took part in discussions on Iron March under the name “The Insurrectionist”. Following the arrest of trainee police officer Benjamin Hannam, he revealed that, after his first meeting with the London organiser of National Action, he was told that if he wanted to meet again, he would have to put up a post on the Iron March forum.

Both Iron March and the Atomwaffen Division were increasingly influenced by the political ideology and religious worldview of the Satanist Order of Nine Angles (O9A). Its followers delight in ruining young lives, and are instructed to get involved with ‘extremist’ groups as a way of doing so and of spreading death and chaos.

It did not take long before Atomwaffen was taken over by a Satanist, Joshua Caleb Sutter. He pushed the O9A’s manual *Tempel ov Blood* – which endorses rape, paedophilia, ritual murder and ISIS-style terrorism – to its increasingly deranged and perverse followers.

It later emerged that Sutter was an FBI informant all along. The US intelligence agency funded his publishing house, Martinet Press, and paid Sutter \$140,000 for his work entrapping, perverting and destroying young followers. Survivors of child sexual abuse and autism sufferers were his favourite targets for his ‘FedWaffen’ grooming programme.


Satanism also seeped into National Action, most notoriously with Ryan Fleming. While serving a two-year prison sentence for forcing a vulnerable young man into a sex act, in 2016 Fleming published *Codex Aristarchus*. This repulsive Satanist handbook urged its readers to “step upon the black path of the Wamphyri – feeding upon the human herd”.



Fleming's Satanist obsession was mirrored on the Iron March forum, whose users idolised the mass murderer Charles Manson, Norwegian terrorist Anders Breivik, the perpetrators of the Columbine shooting, and other multiple killers.

Slavros worked in particular to popularise the ideas of American neo-Nazi Satanist James Mason, who argues that the closer the contemporary world is to complete destruction, the better the situation will be for fascists.

Slavros promoted Mason's book *Siege*, which was first published as a newsletter between 1980 and 1986. Mason's book reproduces the title page of his copy of LaVey's *Satanic Bible*, signed by the author, which he says he acquired in 1969 and "has cherished ever since".



Siege: The Collected Writings of James Mason contains articles from the Nazi journal of the same name. The collection was edited and first published in 1992 by American Satanist Michael Moynihan.

WHO IS 'CHARLES CHAPEL'

Iron March moderator Gabriel Sohier Chaput revealed in a discussion on the Right Stuff website that it was he who did the actual work of digitising the book so it could be spread online. Together, Slavros and Chaput helped make *Siege* “a racist Internet phenomenon.” With its open incitement for individuals to turn to terrorism, the book quickly became required reading for AtomWaffen Division (AWD) members. On the UK side of the Atlantic, it was popular with their NA contacts as well.



Chaput was AWD's primary publicist and helped the group rise to prominence by regularly promoting it on the Daily Stormer, until site owner Andrew Anglin became first disenchanted by, and then hostile to, neo-Nazism as he found and embraced Christianity. Chaput (in glasses in the picture above), by contrast, is committed to Satanic 'left-hand path nationalism', to which the use of Himmler's Nazi occult Black Sun symbol is invariably a reliable clue.

National Action's website hosted a PDF copy of one of Chaput's best known works, entitled *Hammer of the Patriot: A Handbook on Rhetorical Counter-Terrorism*. The 140-page manual, published under the pen-name Charles Chapel, is designed to help adherents of far-right extremism win debates with their ideological foes.

The book was first published in 2014 and emphasizes winning verbal arguments. This does not, however, mean that Chaput had moderated his ideas. In a 2016 podcast, he set out his plans for a twin-track approach:

"What I envision here is that there are going to be two different organizations that develop. One of them is going to be public and the other one is going to be mostly hidden. The public organization is going to be very nationalistic. It's going to promote traditional values. It will project a strong image. Think of something like Golden Dawn or Casa Pound. But that organization is not going to do anything illegal and it's not going to directly attack the system. Its activities might not even include political activism. It might be organizing sports. It will be helping people. It will be providing networking opportunities. It will build infrastructure and so on. ... But there's going to be another organization — a hidden one — and it's going to be much more militant. All of its activities will be focused on undermining the system."

KENNY SMITH AND THE MSM'S BLIND EYES

It doesn't matter whether this is intelligent strategy or ludicrous fantasy, or whether you agree with it or not. The point is that Channel 4 chose not to use such material in their 'attack' on Patriotic Alternative. Because when Claymore Books, PA's Book Club - which is run by its head of administration and Scottish organiser, Kenny Smith - published its first book, it was *Hammer of the Patriot*.

As we have already seen, the book is basically a guide for arguing with leftists and, as such, is pretty innocuous. But when did such MSM outlets ever let the truth get in the way of a good story? What prevented them from pointing out that its author 'Charles Chapel' is in fact a notorious neo-Nazi Satanist, who has written and broadcast many other works which are thoroughly extreme and blatantly illegal under UK law?

It really doesn't take a propaganda genius to see that Channel 4 could have had a field day - as would a prosecutor - with the claim that PA's book publisher, Claymore Books, had published the book formerly promoted by National Action as part of a policy to put its young followers on the 'escalator of radicalisation'. After all, if people buy and enjoy one book by a particular author, it is very likely that they will go looking for other things he has written.

In the case of 'Charles Chapel', the most basic internet search immediately brings up the fact that *Hammer of the Patriot* was actually co-written by Iron March administrator Chaput with none other than Iron March founder Stavros/Mukhitdinov. Why on earth did the BBC overlook this stunning and so easily ascertained fact about the first book published by Patriotic Alternative?

The same Goodreads advert also points to another book which the pair wrote together, *A Squire's Trial*. Readily available online in hard copy, PDF and audio book versions, this uses the format of a novel to lead readers into a didactic introduction to fascism and Nazism. And the reader who is sucked in by that is highly likely to follow the links for co-author Stavros and find six more of his neo-Nazi books.

Nor is that the bottom of the neo-Nazi rabbit hole. Recommended alongside with these are works such as *88 Precepts* by David Lane, who died in prison having been sentenced to a total of 190 years for his crimes in the 'white supremacist terrorist organization' The Order. The fact that all these are still being sold on Amazon offers no protection whatsoever against the prosecution producing such works as 'evidence' of 'dangerous radicalisation' and multiple breaches of the UK's very widely drafted Terror Laws.



Take a close look at the cover of *A Squire's Tale*. Remember: This is one of the books co-written by the author of the first work published by Claymore Books. How do you think that swastika will go down with your average jury?

Now recall that Claymore Books is run by the man at the very heart of PA's administration, Kenny Smith. As a key player in its Scottish region, Smith is closely associated with the organisation's only real bunch of 'hard men', which may go some way to explaining his hold over Collett.

In some cases, these are the now adult sons of men Smith was close to when they were together in the BNP. Their effective leader at the time, Warren Bennett, was the party's Head of Security. As such he was responsible for the team which had the job of getting me and Mark Collett safely into and out of Leeds Crown Court for our joint Race Act trial, where there was a very real possibility of large numbers of militant Reds or Muslims trying to attack us outside the building.

Bennett, however, detested Collett so much that, two days before the trial, he called me and dropped the bombshell that he and 'his' team were not prepared to provide security for Collett. I was given an ultimatum: Leave Collett to go to court alone, and without any security, or have no security team myself.

I told him that, while I understood his dislike of Collett, I was not prepared to hang him out to dry in such a dangerous way. "If you won't provide security for him, then I will, even if it's just the two of us", I told him as I accepted his resignation.

In the event, the entire English team held their own discussion, and agreed to ignore Bennett's order to stand down. They loyally turned out and guarded me and my unpopular co-defendant very efficiently throughout both our trials in Leeds.

Not long afterwards, Smith and Steve Blake led a faction of BNP staff and officials who took up Bennett's demand that I should throw Collett out. Just months before the critical 2009 European Elections, they split the party in the most dangerous factional dispute of my entire leadership.


I am not the only one to question why Mark Collett is allowing two men who hated him so bitterly to run PA's administration and online operations. Few, however, know better than me just how much Collett hated them in turn, or how much hurt their faction caused thousands of decent members when they leaked the BNP membership list as part of their effort to undermine the party and its election effort.

Having put them in power in PA, Collett has done absolutely nothing about their blatant incitement to its naïve rank-and-file followers to multiple breaches of Britain's anti-terror laws. I covered this at length in a previous appeal to sanity, so will not repeat my exposure of the illegality of Smith's strident calls for the collection of information on opponents, or of Blake's detailed instructions on how to hide these from the police.

Anyone following their instructions, which were issued on behalf of PA in their capacity as key officials, faces conviction and imprisonment under the Terrorism Act. The fact that the authorities took no action against them tells us all too clearly that this apparent madness was actually a state-sanctioned provocation. Anyone who doubts that the British state would knowingly allow the dissemination of ideas which incite people to break the law, in order to increase its prosecution rates, should pause here briefly to look at the final document in Appendix 1 of this study.

The e-bulletins which the two former Collett-haters sent out to PA members could also have been used by the BBC to put together a convincing case for the immediate proscription of Patriotic Alternative. Once again, you don't have to believe this would have been justified to ask why Channel 4 didn't do it and so allowed the whole circus to continue.

To return to the present day and to understand just how central Smith and his radicalisation escalator book are to PA, take a look at Mark Collett and his official Number 2 Laura Towler using the Patriotic Alternative Book Club and his own show to promote them:



Note that these shows still air on Radio Albion. This despite the fact that this operation was also used by Sven Longshanks, who has already been arrested and charged with “distributing sound recordings containing racial hatred, and which were threatening abusive or insulting”. Given that fact, why has Radio Albion’s owner, Larry Nunn, been left at liberty to continue running the platform, particularly given his central involvement with National Action?

COLLETT’S STRAW MEN

Mark Collett’s reaction to criticism of his and PA’s promotion of illegal books is also very telling – and hugely irresponsible. What a responsible leader *should* say is:

“We don’t agree with censorship, and no-one should be jailed for downloading or buying a book. But this is the sad reality of life in liberal Britain today. We therefore urge you to avoid risking your freedom. Some people may have bought books on the Nazis out of historical interest. Others might have downloaded forthright critiques of multi-culturalism out of concern about mass immigration or as a result of painful personal experiences of diversity. But none of those reasons will give you a viable defence in court. So why ever you came to have them, you need to get rid of such documents, right now!”

Collett, by contrast, has issued rants on podcasts and on Telegram which dishonestly try to claim that people *warning* about this tyranny are *supportive* of it.

“Any so-called ‘nationalists’ who are wetting their pants in excitement over young men being arrested for owning the wrong book or speaking their mind on social media should not only be ashamed of themselves, but they should be expunged from the movement – don’t donate to these people, don’t interact with these people; treat them with the contempt they deserve.”

The trick here is to pretend that those people warning that certain things *will* get you thrown into prison, are saying that you *should* be. On top of this utterly dishonest nonsense, he goes on to tell his followers that there is no danger:

“Let me be 100 per cent clear: owning a book is NOT terrorism..... To suggest that owning a book should be a jailable offence is just one step away from complete tyranny.....Genuine acts of terrorism should be of course punishable, but expanding the definition of terrorism to including owning a book is an absurd over reach – especially when some of the books people are being jailed for owning are available on Amazon or in Waterstones.”

Interestingly, that last sentence tells us that Collett knows which books are being used to send young boys to high security, Islamist-dominated prisons. But, just as we will see in the case of the Crown Prosecution Service, he *refuses* to *share* that knowledge and to give people advance warning of the danger.

“Any nationalist supporting such tyranny is not a nationalist!” concluded that particular Collett rant. Pause here to consider what this level of straw-man dishonesty should tell you about the leader of Patriotic Alternative.

He knows full well that NO nationalist “supports” this tyranny; we are simply warning naïve teenagers that it *exists*. It doesn’t matter whether you think it should not, we all have to make decisions on the basis of what *is*, rather than what *should be*.

And the truth is that the police, courts, media and political elite have the *power* to use possession of the ‘wrong book’ to send you to prison. That is the point Mark Collett should be striving to make clear. Why does he refuse to do so and instead hurl abuse at those trying to keep young people out of trouble?

GAMING AND GROOMING



The Iron March forum vanished overnight in 2018 in still unexplained circumstances. 'Slavros' disappeared from the scene too. In November 2019, an unknown individual uploaded a database of Iron March users to the Internet Archive. Forum users, including an ICE detention centre captain and several active members of the US armed forces, were fired as a result of the mass doxxing of all its 1,200 plus members. Chaput/Chapel evaded Canadian police for two years and is now awaiting trial.

Before moving on from Chaput, it is worth noting (because future prosecutors of PA members certainly will) that he and others on Iron March were enthusiastic promoters of

the use of online gaming sites as places to meet and influence teenage boys. Atomwaffen and National Action were among the first groups to follow his tactical advice, and Patriotic Alternative continued from where they left off.

The UK authorities and liberal media outlets are almost obsessively concerned about this – clearly they do not relish having their own grooming and brainwashing campaigns countered from the opposite direction. The seriousness with which the Powers That Be regard this ‘threat’ makes it a particularly dangerous activity for any organisation which is even remotely associated with ‘extremism’. For PA to use gaming sites for recruitment is to wave a massive red flag at an immensely powerful bull.

The February 2021 conviction of a 13-year-old boy, who led a neo-Nazi cell from his grandmother's house, led to a stream of news reports highlighting the issue of ‘far-right extremists’ recruiting teenagers through online gaming. *The Independent*, *Guardian* and *Sun* newspapers all ran major pieces on the phenomenon.

In April 2022, the *Independent* returned to the issue, with a feature entitled “A time bomb ‘supercharged’ by the pandemic: How white nationalists are using gaming to recruit for terror”

The piece opened with the statement that *“Experts are warning that far-right agitators are using online gaming platforms to spread hate and recruit a new generation of converts. Supercharged by the rise of gaming and social isolation during the pandemic, extremism academics say more needs to be done to police these platforms for grooming hate.”*

The *Fair Observer* - the media mouthpiece for the influential UK lobbying organisation, the Centre for Analysis of the Radical Right – also covered the issue, stating that:


“There is a growing corpus of literature exploring the intersection between gaming and right-wing extremism. This includes work that focuses on the cultural overlap between online extremism and gaming communities; potential vulnerabilities that might mean gamers are more susceptible to radicalization; the gamification of extremist activity; and discussion of the ‘gamergate’ controversy that saw a number of gamers involved in coordinated online trolling to help drive online extremism.”

On top of the PR and legal risks involved in using gaming as a recruitment tool, there is also something disquieting about a 42-year-old man spending long hours playing games with 15-year-old boys who are not his sons. This is not some sort of ‘smear’, but a simple fact. Collett really does lead the “Nationalist A-Team”, spending hours gaming with young followers on line. Some of the star-struck ones have, however, been taken aback by his childish temper tantrums when his team loses.

KAI MURROS – THE KISS OF DEATH


In making the case for proscription when the time is deemed appropriate, prosecuting barristers, the media and Home Office will not stop with PA's clear links with National Action, Slavros and Chaput. They will also have a field day with the promotion on the Patriotic Alternative website of the speeches, writings and images of Kai Murros.

Professor Murros is a Finnish academic. He was first introduced to British nationalists via the London Forum, which was heavily promoted on Iron March pages, prior to being closed down after its founder, Jeremy Bedford-Turner, was sentenced to prison for a year for 'anti-Semitic hate-speech'. Before his own brush with the law, Turner used to tell speakers to "be as extreme as you feel possible", and Murros was one who took him at his word.



The former Maoist and diminutive intellectual rehashes Nietzsche, Evola, Hitler and assorted other fascist ideologues to write 'epic' speeches, which he reads out word-for-word. Spinning impossible and ugly fantasies, he creates pictures of white supremacist castles in the air, relishing the applause of angry and deeply impractical fools who have neither the wit, courage nor skills to do anything to advance the 'Cause' one iota in practical terms. The whole thing is an exercise in the sale of pseudo-intellectual, racial imperialist, snake oil.

Picking up where the now defunct London Form left off, Steve Blake has used his control of PA's website to give repeated plugs to the speeches and writings with which Murros repeatedly flouts British law.



The screenshot shows the website 'PATRIOTIC ALTERNATIVE' with a navigation menu including CONTACT, ABOUT, NEWS, PLAY YOUR PART, DEMOGRAPHICS, EDUCATION, PATV, and IN MEMORIAM. A red 'DONATE' button is visible on the right. The main content area features a video player titled 'Kai Murros 'National Revolution in England''. The video shows Kai Murros speaking at a podium with several flags behind him. Below the video player, there is a list item: '• Kai Murros - National Revolution in England'. A paragraph of text follows, describing Murros as a former Maoist turned right-wing speaker and activist, well-received at patriotic forums. It mentions his detractors and the media's portrayal of him, including references to Mohammed Emwazi (aka Jihadi John) and the group 'The Beatles'.

• Kai Murros - National Revolution in England

A former Maoist turned right wing speaker and activist, Murros has been well received at various patriotic forums and gatherings for well-over a decade. His detractors, both right and left, choosing to ignore the hyperbole of his wit and take everything he says absolutely seriously and literally in an attempt to brand him dangerous, even as violent Muslim terrorists are returning to this country in droves and the media with the aid of MI5 and the Counter-Terrorism unit hype the actions of teenage boys saying edgy things on social media in order to balance the books with head-cutters like Mohammed Emwazi (aka Jihadi John) who was part of the infamous ISIS group known as The Beatles because they spoke with English accents.

Now, having been through three Race Act trials myself, and sat as an observer through nearly a dozen others, I have more experience than anyone else in the entire country of how this pernicious catch-all legislation is used by skilled prosecuting barristers.

As such, I tell you that Blake's little suggestions that Murros doesn't quite mean it, that it's just a bit of a joke, would cut absolutely no ice in court. Indeed, the prosecution would instantly turn the very suggestion into an extra weapon against the ideas, and those in the dock for accessing them. "Do you really find calls for brutal terrorist murders and genocide funny?"

I also know Steve Blake well, and I can assure you that he is a well-informed and very clever man. Blake knows perfectly well that "he doesn't really mean it" is absolutely *no* defence. The only plausible reason for him pretending otherwise is that he wants to reassure much less experienced PA supporters into thinking that they can safely hunt for and download works by Kai Murros.

The reality is that possession of material like this virtually *guarantees* a prison sentence. Furthermore, even if they were the only sign of the ultra-extremist agenda of PA's key figures, the ugly fantasies of Kai Murros alone would be the kiss of death to any claim to legitimacy, let alone the future electability which Collett still occasionally talks about.




July 09, 2021

Posted by Fenek Solere

[Book Review](#) [Antelope Hill](#) [Kai Murros](#)

Eccentric and unique are just two of the many adjectives used to describe the reclusive Finnish ideologue, author, revolutionary theorist and right wing activist, Kai Murros, whose "Revolution and how to do it in a modern society", originally published back in 2001, was re-issued in 2020.



Once again, the fact that PA does not actually publish Murros' most extreme ramblings does nothing to protect the organisation or its supporters from the allegation that the aim of publishing him at all is to encourage curious and impressionable youngsters to dive down the neo-Nazi rabbit hole. There they quickly discover his completely genocidal rantings and the terror-glorifying artwork in some of his books, mere possession of which is good for multiple years in prison.

They will find his *Revolution and how to do it in a modern society* – after all, Blake points them to it. This is 39 pages of pathetically unrealistic, childish hysterical, cod-Maoism. Here is just one page from the extremely violent document, which, despite Blake's ringing endorsement, doesn't actually contain any recognisable nationalist sentiments at all:

On Violence

- The first reason for using force will be very concrete. The enemies of the party and of the people must be crushed.
- The second reason for using force is propaganda. We must spread fear among our enemies and increase our support by reclaiming the streets from organized crime and other enemies of ordinary people.
- The third reason for using force is provocation: We must provoke the enemy to make mistakes and alienate themselves even more from the people, thus creating a void which the party will exploit to strengthen its base among the masses.
- A revolution will always by necessity be a violent process. This is why it is imperative from the very beginning to get used to the idea of using whatever means will be necessary to crush our enemies.
- Because of propaganda reasons, the Party must use force with especial caution in the early stages of the revolutionary process.
- The Party must win the confidence of the masses longing for security in uncertain times. It cannot afford to be cast in the role of a monster by its enemies.
- The Party must make sure that its actions are acceptable to ordinary people, at the very least on an emotional or subconscious level. It is vital that the people really do understand that the cadres of the Party are fighting for them.
- A special case will be to punish those criminals which more or less corrupt judicial system of our time has left unpunished.
- While punishing criminals one must consider the pedagogical side of using force. The punishment must be severe enough to placate the justified anger of ordinary people as well as to paralyze organized crime with fear.
- The Party will act as the violent incarnation of the sense of justice of the people.

Next, let us take a look at one of the drawings from an online version of the same Murros pamphlet. It accompanies his demand for 'revolutionary terrorism' as party members take up arms to 'defend our communities'.



It doesn't matter if you think that this is merely the future logical and tragic end result of the failure of the British people to listen to Enoch Powell in 1968. You are free to think that. You are still just about free to say it in your own home. I am still probably free to warn that this is where future generations will end up being driven, if injustice, liberal repression and Islamist and Hindu extremism combine to thwart future efforts to establish a workable modus vivendi between the indigenous Brits and now immovable 'immigrant' communities.

But *no-one* is free in current year Britain to call for the creation of armed and violent nationalist revolutionary gangs – which is what Murros does repeatedly. True, only individuals who possess (perhaps naively trusting to the encryption software recommended by Steve Blake) such material can be prosecuted for it, but the proscription and demonisation which the promotion of Murros invites, will indelibly stain every single member of PA the moment the Hammer of the *State* falls.

The very real danger here comes from the Terrorism Act 2006. Section 1 Encouragement of terrorism. Under (2) *A person commits an offence if—*

(b) at the time he publishes it or causes it to be published, he—

(i) intends members of the public to be directly or indirectly encouraged or otherwise induced by the statement to commit, prepare or instigate acts of terrorism...;

“Intent” being almost impossible to prove without a confession, the most commonly used and easily proven section (ii) makes the accused guilty if the jury decides he

“is reckless as to whether members of the public will be directly or indirectly encouraged or otherwise induced by the statement to commit, prepare or instigate such acts or offences.”

(3) goes on to clarify that *“For the purposes of this section, the statements that are likely to be understood by [a reasonable person] as indirectly encouraging the commission or preparation of acts of terrorism or Convention offences include every statement which—*

(a) glorifies the commission or preparation (whether in the past, in the future or generally) of such acts or offences; and

(1) This section applies to a statement that is likely to be understood by [a reasonable person] as a direct or indirect encouragement or other inducement, to some or all of the members of the public to whom it is published, to the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism.”



Another illustration from Murros which, taken together the text it originally accompanied, could easily be said to clear the threshold for prosecution.

If any reader naively thinks that the legislation is only aimed at organisations such as the IRA or ISIS, a look at the relevant UK government website (<https://www.cps.gov.uk/crime-info/terrorism>) quickly explains otherwise.

“The Terrorism Act 2000 defines terrorism, both in and outside of the UK, as the use or threat of one or more of the actions listed below, and where they are designed to influence the government, or an international governmental organisation or to intimidate the public for the purpose of advancing a political, religious, racial or ideological cause.

“The specific actions included are: serious violence against a person; serious damage to property; endangering a person's life, creating a serious risk to the health or safety of the public or a section of the public; and action designed to seriously interfere with or seriously to disrupt an electronic system.”

The definition goes on to say that *“It is important to note that in order to be convicted of a terrorism offence a person doesn't actually have to commit what could be considered a terrorist attack. Planning, assisting and even collecting information on how to commit terrorist acts are all crimes under British terrorism legislation.”*

In the case of what it classifies as “Extreme Right Wing Terrorism”, the government document then proceeds to extend the list of terrorist acts to include putting out ‘offensive’ ideas:

“Recent years have seen a rise in cases of extreme right wing terrorism in the UK. Extreme right wing terrorists promote messages of hate-filled prejudice which can encourage radicalisation among people motivated by race hate. Groups including (the now proscribed) National Action, Sonnenkrieg Division and The Base have been under scrutiny for promoting offensive, anti-Islamic messages which run contrary to the values of respect and tolerance of different faiths and beliefs. The CPS continues to work to combat those who seek to sow hatred and division by advancing extremist ideologies.”

Understand here that the CPS is not talking here about running tolerance classes or Prevent courses. The clue is in the organisation’s name: Crown *Prosecution* Service. When they say “work to combat”, they actually mean “put people in prison”. It’s what they do.

And don’t think that the law is aimed only at people with real guns, bombs, or razor blades, because it is framed to catch a whole lot more than that. Section(5B) provides that:

“The glorification of any conduct is unlawful for the purposes of subsection (5A) if there are persons who may become aware of it who could reasonably be expected to infer that what is being glorified, is being glorified as—

(a) conduct that should be emulated in existing circumstances, or

(b) conduct that is illustrative of a type of conduct that should be so emulated.”

“...persons who may become aware” means of course that the offending document or speech doesn’t even have to be seen by potential terrorists. The prosecution only has to show the item to the jury to establish that some daft and angry kid “may” see it.

The theoretical hot-head in question doesn't even have to do anything. It is enough for the prosecution to convince a jury, which will almost inevitably include middle class liberals and members of ethnic minorities, that they reckon it is "reasonable" to believe that he will think that he should do something.

This is such a low bar that, once the jury are shown a couple of swastikas and crude racist slurs in order to activate their liberal conditioning, it is almost inconceivable that they would not agree that the material put out by Murros and signposted by Steve Blake flouts both the letter and the spirit of the Terrorism Act.

Possessing such material in the context of approval (as opposed to criticism and warning) is therefore an almost automatic conviction, with (7a) providing a maximum sentence of up to 15 years in prison.

The legislation goes on to make it clear that it is legal to reproduce such material as this here, because I am doing so by way of *criticism* and *warning*. I am condemning this as madness and reproducing it in the hope of bringing home to naïve young supporters of PA that the organisation – and everyone associated with it – is not so much skating on thin ice as already falling into a gaping and extraordinarily dangerous hole.



Just consider for a moment how judges and jurors will respond to hearing Murros (pictured above) urging "black-clad working-class youths" to burst into British universities and carry out Pol Pot style massacres of intellectuals and political opponents.

If some readers with a bit of worldly experience think they detect in such fantasies a distinct whiff of sado-masochism and homosexuality, they are probably right. In his 2012 London Forum speech 'Attitude as a Weapon', Murros made clear his sympathy for and personal interest in the LGBTQ+ agenda when he opined that:

"It is a tragic loss if the nationalist movement is perceived as a stifling asexual movement. The worst thing is if we start preaching young people old biblical and Victorian virtues and give the impression that our movement is stuck in the 19th century we must not let the books of Moses distort the bright souls of our young people with intellectual garbage, which is hostile and damaging to their emotions and completely alien to love and life. We must not let outdated middle-class puritanism turn our movement barren and sterile.....National revolution must be the second sexual revolution or otherwise it will die away. Every young person in the nationalist movement must have the opportunity to love and be loved, regardless of their sexual orientation."

Remember that PA have repeatedly used their website and social media accounts to promote this twisted individual as a key "Thinker of the Right". Recall too the open enthusiasm for homosexuality among the Satanist mentors of the Iron March, and the way in which National Action leaders treated Ryan Fleming's oral rape of a young boy as a harmless joke.

Then fast forward to the recent rehabilitation by Collett of his some-time camping companion Colin Robertson, aka Millennial Woes, despite his thoroughly unsavoury involvement in homosexuality, sexual aggression against young women and the attempted normalisation of bestiality.

There is a pattern here, and much more evidence could be produced, but this study is about political and legal jeopardy rather than moral degeneracy, so let us return to the main business and to the genocidal musings of Kai Murros.

The law permits me to publish and allows you to read, retain and circulate the following extracts from his hate-filled rantings. Because this study's clear aim is to warn individuals to get away from such dangerous and immoral madness, and to encourage well-intentioned members of PA, who cannot bring themselves to leave, at least to try to pressure Mark Collett into expelling the clique who are steadily dragging it down the road to illegality and proscription.

Here are just a few examples of what Murros writes and says:

"As long as the Europeans were on top of the food chain, running their colonial empires across the planet, we didn't have to worry about the survival of our race and civilization. But now we have lost the colonial empires, and we are about to lose everything else as well. Under the pressure we will, however, adapt, learn and evolve. And as a result we will become more cunning, cruel and ferocious."

“We need one more war. A war of all wars, one magnificent, glorious, noble, mesmerizing, enticing, breathtaking war. We must never be ashamed to admit that the resurrection of Europe requires the greatest war this planet has ever seen.”

“Our enemies: The vile alien masses, herding in our streets, attacking, extorting, robbing our people, abusing our hospitality, laying claim on our soil, have not yet even grasped what absolute and total violence on a massive scale means. They seem to think that they can intimidate us into submission by using terrorism and petty gang violence. But they forget that once us Europeans turn violent, we wipe out races, we destroy entire civilizations, we desolate continents, and we start world wars. Europeans are masters of violence. Nature has endowed us with courage, patience, resilience and a systematic mind. We will use these gifts to the fullest in the coming years. We will declare a total and radical war of destruction on those who wish us harm, and we will not stop until we have destroyed them completely.”

“Those who thought that Europe would fall will soon be greatly disappointed, and those who conspired to harm Europe and Europeans will soon fear for their lives. There is no place on this Earth where they can hide from us. We will hunt them down, and we will make them pay.”

“Once we are unleashed we will open the gates of hell and summon the Furore Europagos. There is nothing more frightening in this world than the bloodlust of a nation that has suffered injustice.”

“The coming war is not going to be a moral problem; the coming war will be a mere technical problem. Once we have solved the technical problem, we will be able to wage a war of absolute destruction in all corners of the Earth as we see fit. We can take the initiative wherever, whenever and against whomever we want, and stay on the offensive until the enemy is exterminated.”

“We will decimate the predatory migrations against Europe. All threats against Europe will be eradicated. We will strike overpopulation right at its source. What starts in the streets of Europe with fists, knives and broken bottles will end in gigantic clashes in faraway battlefields.”

In the opening pages of Murros' *Prometheus Reborn*, things get even darker, raising the possibility of the Satanist influence which we saw in the case of other favoured PA theoreticians:

“O Father Night! We are wolves that prey in your darkness. We feed our flock with the blood of our enemies. Life and death flow in us.”

I stress yet again that it doesn't matter if you actually agree with some of the above. Because the people running Britain and its policing and legal systems *do not*. And *they* are the ones with the *power*. Further, while the average juror may be opposed to immigration

and worried about the future of our nation, he or she will almost certainly do so from the perspective of the “civic nationalists” so detested by PA ideologues.

As such, any initial sympathy they might just have will evaporate the moment the prosecution shows them swastikas and Nazi salutes, and reminds them of some of the careless extremism and genocidal fantasies spouted over the years by Collett, Melia and Kearns, and their favoured ideologues such as Slavros, Chaput and Murros.

SAM MELIA

Finally, we need briefly to examine the case of Sam Melia. Not only is he the husband of PA deputy leader Laura Towler, he is also a key figure in the NA leadership in his own right. Melia, Towler and Collett have all repeatedly denied his previous involvement with National Action, which actually makes the failure of ITV and Channel 4 ‘hatchet jobs’ to present the irrefutable evidence – and thus to expose them as liars – all the more strange.

Likewise, you should ask yourself why the helpfully amnesiac programme makers failed to hammer Melia over his running of the Hundred Handers, an online operation encouraging people to print out and put up nationalist stickers.

Now, most of the stickers bear slogans which are totally unexceptional and even reasonable from the perspective of millions of ordinary Brits. I have nothing against nationalist stickers; I bought and stuck up thousands of them as a teenage activist in the old NF. But in those days, as long as one avoided putting them in places where they could be construed as criminal damage, the activity was legal. Later, the BNP was forced to stop producing stickers by expensive and time-wasting civil action lawfare cases by local councils.

19.06



(Getty Images)

Two members of the white supremacist group Hundred-Handers were arrested for public order offences across the UK's county of Sheffield on April 16. They had posted racist stickers on lamp posts, bins, bus stop signs and bollards, reading: "Borders Open, Pubs Closed" and "Open border, virus disorder," linking the coronavirus to immigration in Britain.



More recently though, stickering has been effectively outlawed by the police and criminal justice system. The fact that stickering is now regarded by the police as illegal may well be wrong, but that is the reality. A number of grass-roots activists have been arrested, amid lurid press headlines, for putting up Hundred Hander stickers. Channel 4 and other journalistic outlets regard messages such as the 2066 demographic minority prediction as examples of 'racism' that is completely abhorrent.

Yet they failed to broadcast the crystal-clear evidence that Sam Melia was the leader of the Hundred Handers – just as they refrained from using the brutally effective cheap shot that the group's 'HH' initials were an inside joke that exposes a mentality far more extreme than legitimate concern about the future status of the indigenous British. In neo-Nazi circles, HH stands for 'Heil Hitler'.

Most 'inexplicable' of all is the MSM's deliberate and repeated refusal to use something which would have been far more genuinely concerning to ordinary viewers than shots of a few individuals around a campfire making racist comments which, in truth, can still be heard in working class pubs the length and breadth of the country.

This is Sam "Call me Hitler" Melia urging his followers to put razor blades behind their stickers, with the intention of slicing the fingers of opponents who tried to rip them down.

Here too, the fact that his subsequent denials are discredited by this widely circulated screenshot of his original post, would only add to the impact of the revelation.



Melia's response to my previous warning of the danger that PA's leadership is courting was to tell his followers that I was "calling you a Nazi for putting out leaflets."

I was, of course, doing nothing of the sort. Then, as now, I was warning that Sam Meila, Mark Collett, Kenny Smith and Steve Blake are setting Patriotic Alternative up to be proscribed. I was pointing out the clear and present danger of promoting 'thinkers' whose books and videos are so radically illegal under current British law that mere possession of them will lead to conviction and prison under the Terror Act.

My reply to Melia's dishonesty is to ask you to re-read his recommendation on the bottom of that Hundred Hander post. Then consider how the CPS definition of 'terrorism'

includes urging people to “intimidate the public for the purpose of advancing a political, religious, racial or ideological cause (using)..... serious violence against a person”. There are three requirements here to prove the offence, and a fourth to prove ‘glorification’.

Melia was thus bang to rights on all four counts. Additionally, that post also clearly falls under s. 44 of the Serious Crime Act 2007, with conviction carrying a potential five-year prison sentence.

The Powers That Be would have absolutely no problem proving that he was the supposedly anonymous Hundred Handers leader interviewed by Mark Collett and on a separate Unwashed podcast.

So the question you need to ask yourself is – why is this ‘terror-glorifying’, former NA member still free and being allowed to promote PA?

THE IMPACT OF PROSCRIPTION

All this is not, as Mark Collett has claimed, “concern trolling”. It is a genuine and urgent warning to the boys and girls and young men and women who have been drawn to Patriotic Alternative like moths fluttering around a candle flame.


I am well aware that young men in particular often believe themselves effectively immortal, invincible and all-knowing. I have no doubt that most of them will continue to ignore such advice. Apart from anything else, most of those with the brains and street smarts to take heed and act to save themselves have already done so.

For those who believe the assurances of their Judas Goat leadership, I can only remind them that the members of National Action were similarly warned. Those who paid heed are getting on with their lives (and, in some cases, critically, having “more white children”). Those who sneered at me and Jim Dowson as “past it” and told us to “watch how it should be done” are now among the list of those jailed for their arrogant folly:

Christopher Lythgoe; Ben Raymond; Alex Davis; David Musins; Alice Cutter; Mark Jones; Garry Jack; Connor Scottern; Jack Renshaw; Matthew Hankinsen; Nathan Pryke; Adam Thomas; Claudia Patatas; Darren Fletcher; Daniel Bogunovic; Joel Whitmore; Mikko Vehvilainen; Alexander Deakin; Wayne Bell; Benjamin Hannam; Jack Coulsen; Ryan

Fleming; Daniel Wright; Liam Hall; Stacey Salmon; Samuel Whibley; Oskar Dunn Koczorowski (child member of NA, jailed as member of Sonnenkrieg division); Zack Davies and Lawrence Burns.

A few on this list were (or became) criminal and paedophile scum who deserved everything they got. The others have had their lives wrecked and have gone through hell for no reason other than their own stupidity - and the wickedness of those who deliberately set out to push idealistic young people to futile and counter-productive confrontation with the State. Which of the provocateurs did this because they were mad, or paid, or seeking sacrifices to their master Satan, I do not know; but whatever their motivation, their victims paid a shatteringly high price for rejecting our advice.



Considering that National Action had no more than 60 – 100 members at its 2016 ‘height’, to have 29 people imprisoned is a shocking ‘casualty rate’. An indication as to the impact on jurors of NA’s poisonous and ugly imagery and rhetoric may be had from the fact that only two people allegedly linked to the group - Garron Helm and Mark Barrett- managed to walk out of court acquitted. A third, Robbie Mullen, escaped prison by betraying his comrades and becoming a grass. Arguably, however, only Jack Renshaw got a longer sentence than him, because Mullen will live his entire life looking over his shoulder.

Perhaps some of the NA prisoners had a mistaken idea that they would become ‘martyrs’, but the majority presumably believed Max Musson and other ‘advisers’ who told them that the organisation would not be proscribed, and that those of us warning that they were headed to disaster were just jealous of their ‘success’ in getting their antics covered in the liberal media.

Similar assurances to PA members are just as false. Take a look at the law as contained in the Terrorism Act 2006 Part 2:

Proscription of terrorist organisations

21 Grounds of proscription

In section 3 of the Terrorism Act 2000 (c. 11) (proscription of organisations), after subsection (5) insert—

“(5A) The cases in which an organisation promotes or encourages terrorism for the purposes of subsection (5)(c) include any case in which activities of the organisation—

(a) Include the unlawful glorification of the commission or preparation (whether in the past, in the future or generally) of acts of terrorism; or

(b) are carried out in a manner that ensures that the organisation is associated with statements containing any such glorification.

Note in particular the phrases “any case” and “is associated with statements containing any such glorification”. Now, take a few minutes to review the evidence set out earlier. Only a complete fool can pretend that such widely drawn and vague legislation cannot be applied to PA.

Where is the redress when it is? Only an appeal to the High Court for a Judicial Review of the Home Secretary’s action – an application which would be resisted by the presentation of evidence such as the Telegram posts of Kris Kearns; the link between the ‘coded’ references to Combat 18 in the old social media accounts of Mark Collett and his recent ‘happy birthday’ stunt; the rantings of Kai Murros and the Iron March crowd. Good luck with that, lads, but you’d do better to spend the £20,000 and rising that it would cost on buying steel toe-capped trainers to wear on your final day in the dock, so you don’t go into an Islamist-run prison completely defenceless!

Collett and the others at the top might even have the nerve to blame me for bringing all this to light. But rest assured that there is absolutely nothing in this document which MSM outlets and the intelligence services did not already know a long time ago. The only reason they haven’t already used it to spring the trap, is that there is a steady trickle of young fools still walking into it.

THE BIGGEST DANGER OF ALL

Unlike the miniscule NA, Patriotic Alternative has claimed to have 16,000 members, with Laura Towler boasting that it had only taken them two years to become even bigger than the British National Party at its height. In the real world, the comparison is ludicrous, because every single BNP member had to *pay* annual dues for the privilege of carrying the party card. By contrast, PA claims as ‘members’ every single individual who has ever registered for free, online, as a supporter.

Unfortunately for those who have registered as PA supporters, however, Laura Towler won’t be the only one trying to ignore the huge difference between committed, paid-up, real members, and register-for-free, curious sympathisers. The security services, courts, media and the violent far-left will play exactly the same trick.



Sooner or later, the Patriotic Alternative ‘membership list’ will be seized or leaked, probably both. Just as the Iron March forum contributors list was leaked by persons unknown and the BNP members list was leaked by Kenny Smith’s close anti-Collett factional allies Sadie Graham (above, with fellow Collett-hater Kenny) and Matt Single.

When it is, all 16,000 people on it will be collectively and individually demonised with every piece of folly, illegality and wickedness that has ever been uttered, published or committed by any and every member of PA’s collective leadership.

Further, when the inevitable proscription notice is issued by the Home Secretary, every single one of those 16,000 people will become a walking public relations and legal time-bomb for any group or organisation in which they *subsequently* get involved.



We all remember how the mainstream media seized on Mark Collett and his swastika-tattooed girlfriend, in an attempt to smear and damage the Leave campaign during the EU referendum. More recently, grass-roots and independent efforts to oppose Drag Queen Story Time outrages in public libraries were repeatedly hammered by the media – or even cancelled by their organisers – when PA members turned up as well.

Now, even though most of PA's 16,000 'members' are in fact no more than soft and uninvolved sympathisers, it is a fact that the organisation has had more success in attracting the attention and sympathy of middle-class teenagers and young men than any of its predecessors on the nationalist scene since the National Front in the mid-1970s.


In large part this is a reflection of the alienation and desperation created by the triumphalism and intolerance of the various 'minorities' and their powerful allies. But regardless of why it has happened, it is a simple fact. Mark Collett has been very successful in this regard, and with that success comes a great deal of *responsibility*.

For this is what will lead to the most dangerous consequence of allowing the continued Gadarene rush of PA towards the proscription cliff. A key purpose of the intelligence services controlled pseudo-gangs first created by Brigadier Frank Kitson, is always to "pollute the water in which the fish swim".

The media will point to the example of outlawed Patriotic Alternative to 'prove' that any criticism of, or opposition to, the Great Replacement is 'Nazi hate'. But Lying Press propaganda will be only the start of the damage. Being exposed as having been involved in a subsequently proscribed organisation will be a personal disaster for many. Even worse, it will be an organisational disaster for the entire nationalist movement.

The vast majority of former members will feel let down, vulnerable and will never do anything again. They will be lost to the movement. Some will try to carry on with new 'underground' groups on the same dead-end road as NA and PA, and will end up in prison. Those who manage to avoid being exposed will be susceptible for life to blackmail by the secret police or HopeNotHATE.

Others will learn from all this, mature, and switch their energy to sensible groups and constructive projects – which, tragically, will be demonised, disrupted and discredited whenever former PA members are exposed in their ranks. Exactly as Kitson recommended in his highly influential work on using 'pseudo-gangs'.



Just when the indigenous rights movement most needs intelligent and educated leadership, 16,000 intelligent and educated young people are going to be knocked out of the game, or turned into unexploded propaganda and legal bombs, which the State will be able to detonate at will for years to come.

The fall-out from this now almost inevitable calamity will poison and undermine the entire range of nationalist and patriotic efforts in Great Britain for at least a decade, and quite probably longer. A whole generation of nationalist leaders, organisers, activists and should-have been professionals are going to be shoved into a box marked 'toxic' and become polluted untouchables for any normal person.

As a state-sponsored sting, it is a piece of genius. It is all too clear why the intelligence services have put as much effort into this operation as they did into disrupting the BNP at its height. And why they are still letting it run.

THE WAY OUT

So why did I say that this disaster is only “almost inevitable”, rather than a dead certainty? Because, even at this eleventh hour, Mark Collett could still take action and stop the trap from being sprung. There is still time for him to do the right thing - to speak out and act against the cranks, Nazis, Satanists, perverts and provocateurs. He could still change the organisation’s direction and lead its many good people to a positive, truly patriotic, approach.

Let us be very kind and assume that Mark Collett didn’t understand the danger, that he has been so caught up in the daily grind of podcasts, squabbles and organisational nitty-gritty that he has missed the big picture. Well, he should understand *now*, because I’ve put considerable effort into researching and writing this, and because – as you can and should check for yourself – everything here is 100% true.

So Collett now has a crystal-clear picture of the Sword of Damocles hanging over every single ‘member’ (past as well as present, for the state and the controlled media will not bother to make the distinction) of Patriotic Alternative. He has a duty to protect these good people. He also has a duty to make it clear that standing up for the indigenous peoples of these islands doesn’t have to involve psychotic genocidal hatred, neo-Nazism, parroting slogans invented by convicted American terrorists and rubbing shoulders with members of previously banned organisations.

Mark Collett can still save PA. He needs to condemn the madness promoted in its Book Club, saying that he didn’t previously know the facts revealed here. Whether that is actually credible is irrelevant, the moment he did this the trap would lose much of its sting and bite. He should immediately sack Kenny Smith, Steve Blake and anyone else involved in promoting such poison, and he needs to apologise for his grave error in allowing it all to happen on his watch.

Then he should knuckle down to the task of putting clear red-white-and-blue water between PA and the crazies. There is plenty he and decent people in the organisation could do. They could build on the good work, such as the home-schooling curriculum, which has already been done.

Most constructively and safely of all, he could immediately switch all PA’s resources and energy into preparing for the brutally hard winter of energy price hikes and shortages, coupled with a cost-of-living crisis and supply chain breakages, which are bearing down on the British people like a runaway train.

When the covid lockdown crisis was forced on the people of Ireland, Niall McConnell led Siol na hÉireann on a nationwide campaign to provide food parcels and watchful support for the vulnerable elderly and poor Irish families. From a standing start, and without any

prior experience, he and his activists built a positive and constructive response to the crisis.

Together they purchased a staggering 17 tonnes of food aid and distributed it in a way that showed thousands of ordinary Irish people that nationalism is not some weird and unhealthy cult of political necrophilia, but a positive, living commitment to helping the people, building real-life communities and making the nation a better place.



The party's IRL newspaper and podcasts made great use of the photographs and reports from these activities to show the public that Síol na hÉireann is different from the Establishment politicians.

Mark Collett missed the opportunity to do the same in the UK, but the severity of what is coming will provide a golden opportunity to make up for that. It gives Patriotic Alternative one final chance to make the radical change of direction and win the positive reputational shield needed to break out of the demonisation and proscription trap before the Powers That Be slam it shut.

WHAT MARK COLLETT SHOULD DO

Stop playing video games with teenage boys, and turn his mind and energy to fleshing out, very quickly and very publicly, a statement along the following lines:

“In the light of extensive research into the subtle promotion of extremist and un-British ideas by a small group of individuals within PA, all those involved have been expelled. Patriotic Alternative hereby disassociates itself from all such negative extremism. The way ahead for British nationalism does not lie through extremist rhetoric, still less any form of terrorism. With immediate effect, we will add to our constructive work in the fields of community building and home-schooling, with positive and constructive new initiatives. This fresh approach will begin straight away with intensive preparations for creating pop-up food banks and warm space operations for vulnerable pensioners and young families.”

That word “disassociates” is at the very heart of the legal defence such a statement and subsequent action would establish. If you cannot see why, give some fresh thought to Section 21 (5) (b) of the Terrorism Act, which makes it clear that proscription is contingent on the organisation being “..... associated with statements containing any such glorification.” Hence disassociation is the Get Out of Jail Free Card that Mark Collett can still play on behalf of his trusting and well-meaning followers.



Back in the day – BNP pop-up food bank in Havering, Essex. These stalls invariably finished the day with more food on than when they started, as sympathetic members of the public gave more than the needy took away

All Mark needs to do to achieve this is to say the word and set about it. To all except the shady and dangerous figures immediately around him, Mark Collett *is* Patriotic Alternative - what he says goes.

He may well be under the pressure of blackmail, or threats of violence, and he has never been renowned for either physical or moral courage. That said, there have been times in the past when us old hands have seen Mark face up to and overcome his fears, which is something which is actually braver than not having them in the first place.

Further, despite everything said and done over the years, my colleagues and I stand ready to provide him with advice, practical support and safe-housing if he needs it to break with the shady figures exposed in this study and lead his people out of the trap.

So there still is a slim chance that Mark could finally find the courage to do the right thing. If he does not do so now, when the stakes are so plain and so high, then everyone involved must assume that he is either mad or a paid provocateur. If he is the former, then, like the figurehead founders of National Action, he will go down with the PA ship when it is hit with the proscription torpedo.

If he is the latter, he will lead the operation to proscription and immediately walk away, quietly collect his thirty pieces of silver and live happily ever after. This is because it only becomes an offence to be a member of, or try to reconstitute, a proscribed organisation once it has been proscribed. Plenty of other offences, including multiple breaches of the Terrorism Act and the Race Laws, have already been committed, but where the State chooses to overlook these, those involved will walk free.

You may be sure that, while the dupes end up in prison, the provocateurs and paid traitors will indeed walk away unharmed from the disastrous train crash they helped create.

Even their reputations will only suffer if the controlled media decides to go for them. As we have already seen, ITV deliberately ignored a catalogue of damning evidence to let Mark Collett and the rest of the leadership clique off the hook. This policy will continue even after the proscription hammer falls. The Judas Goats who led the operation will be spared from the legal and reputational slaughter of the good-hearted sheep which will follow.

WHAT CAN PA MEMBERS DO?

Time really is running out, but PA members can try to persuade Mark Collett to see sense and to do the right thing.

But it is far more likely that he will respond to this research and statement either by pretending it hasn't been put out, or by launching another tirade of dishonest and irrelevant *ad hominem* abuse.

That would leave PA's genuine members to act on their own accord to try to minimise the danger to themselves as individuals. They need to find platforms to put their break with the organisation – and their reasons for it - *on public record*. They should also find something constructive to join or do straight away, because if they do so before PA is proscribed it will make it much harder to present their new activities as some sort of continuation *in lieu*.

They are already in a very bad place. If they leave this until after proscription, all the PA baggage will still come tumbling after them; but if they break away beforehand, then while the reputational stench will still tend to hang around them, the far more dangerous legal jeopardy will not. At this stage, weighing up all the facts, that is the best they can do for themselves and the Cause.

It is far from ideal, but it is much better than the catastrophic wreck awaiting those who insist on staying on board the runaway Patriotic Alternative train as it careers downhill, towards the fatally sharp bend at the bottom. The organisation is going to come off the rails, that is certain. The only question is how many more of the PA members reading or heeding this final warning will jump clear before the moment of impact?



Hammered? Alisher Mukhitdinov aka Alexander Slavros, Uzbek co-author of the book being promoted to PA members by its entire leadership team

APPENDIX 1 Crown Prosecution Service FOI

6th September 2022

Ref: 10753

Dear Nick Griffin,

Freedom of Information Act 2000 Request

Thank you for your Freedom of Information (FOI) request which we received 12 August 2022.

The FOI Act gives you the right to know whether we hold the information you want and to have it communicated to you, subject to any exemptions which may apply. It is a public disclosure regime, not a private regime. This means that any information disclosed under the FOI Act, by definition, becomes available to the wider public.

Your request, numbered for ease of reference, and our response: -

- 1. Please supply the list of books, videos, podcasts, and authors/broadcasters regarded by the UK legal authorities as providing evidence of possible far-right extremism.*
- 2. Please supply a current list of all such material which has actually been used in prosecutions for alleged or proven membership of/or association with National Action or any of its offshoots.*
- 3. What are the criteria for the inclusion of any publication or broadcast on the list?*
- 4. Please supply the list of books which have been considered for inclusion in this list, or for use in any such prosecution, but which were ultimately regarded as not meeting the criteria*
- 5. Which agency is responsible for creating and updating such lists?*

While we hold some recorded information in scope of parts one to five of your request, we assess this information would be exempt from disclosure under s31 of the FOIA (Law Enforcement). Please see the attached section 17 notice for further details of our decision.



Crown Prosecution Service, Information Access Team
Floor 8, 102 Petty France, London
SW1H 9EA

Reproduced here are the key parts of a response by the Crown Prosecution Service to my recent Freedom of Information Act request.

As you will see from the first document, I was trying to obtain a list of banned books and videos, in order to ascertain which of the works and/or authors being promoted within PA are regarded as illegal and have already been used to prosecute and imprison people.

The second is the official S.17 statement explaining the CPS refusal to provide me with the information requested. This confirms that the British state does indeed maintain a list of publications it regards as illegal, and that some have already been used to send people to prison. It also explains that one of the reasons for not releasing this list is that they intend to use possession of the same works as evidence to jail more people in future.

This contrasts markedly with the situation in, for example, Germany, where a long list of ‘verboden’ books and videos gives dissident citizens the choice of whether to avoid them and stay safe, or take the risk of getting hold of them. In Britain, the aim is not to warn and keep people out of trouble, but to “assist the prosecution”.

CPS

FOI Ref: 10753

Section 17 Notice under the Freedom of Information (FOI) Act 2000

WITHHOLDING INFORMATION

Section 31(1)(c) – Information which is not exempt information by virtue of section 30 is exempt information if its disclosure under this Act would, or would be likely to, prejudice the administration of justice

The disclosure of internal process documents such as these could undermine efforts to detect and prevent crime.

This is a qualified exemption which means that the decision to disclose the requested material is subject to the public interest test.

It may assist you to understand the decision if the public interest factors considered in this case is explained:

Public interest factors for disclosure:

To increase public understanding of the CPS decision making and prosecuting process

Public interest factors against disclosure:

The CPS publishes a vast amount of legal guidance on the CPS website in order to be transparent and increase the public understanding of our practices and processes. However, some information needs to be withheld to protect the prosecuting process. Material held within scope of request ref 10753, including a record of terrorist publications used in prosecutions, is there to support those involved in the prosecution process. Releasing such information into the public domain risks the mosaic effect of assisting potential offenders to avoid detection and possible prosecution. Further, it could provide a reference point for those with an interest in extreme right-wing terrorism and undermine efforts to prevent crime. It is in the public interest that the CPS is confident in its ability to prosecute fairly and without prejudice to their processes.

On balance, I consider the public interest favours maintaining the exemption


So the CPS keeps the list hidden, which is very convenient for provocateurs who can openly or covertly push them in front of the targets that they and their masters intend to put behind bars. Since they actively want to attract and burn the moths, they are determined not to let anyone blow out the flames of their candles.

APPENDIX 2 - COLLETT'S RESPONSE

It took Mark Collett two days to issue his response to this warning, which is of course in part about the use of the PA Book Club to promote work of neo-Nazi extremism with distinct undertones of LGBT degeneracy. Here it is, his latest podcast, about a book first published in 1953, *The Enemy of Europe*, by Francis Parker Yockey


Mark Collett
WE ARE LIVE!
PA BOOK CLUB – The Enemy of Europe
Aunt Sally, Natty and I are joined by Greg Johnson as we discuss and review The Enemy of Europe – a collection of essays by Francis Parker Yockey that argue that the greater threat during the cold war was not the USSR, but in fact the liberal democracy of the United States.
Odysee: <https://odysee.com/@MarkCollett:6/BOOK-REVIEW-The-Enemy-of-Europe:2>
DLive: <https://dlive.tv/lauratowler>
RADIO ALBION: <https://www.radioalbion.com/2020/12/players.html>
Entropy: <https://entropystream.live/app/markcollett>

Odysee
BOOK REVIEW - The Enemy of Europe
Aunt Sally, Natty and I are joined by Greg Johnson as we discuss and review The Enemy of Europe – a collection of essays by Francis Parker Yockey that argue that the greater threat during the cold war...




👍 36 🔥 4 🧑 4 ❤️ 3
👁️ 3K 19:01

Collett's guest on the show, Greg Johnson, is an American homosexual, who has published various books which are almost certainly on the secret CPS banned books list. In particular, his publishing operation is currently taking advance orders for a new edition of Yockey's *Imperium*. First written in 1948, and dedicated by its author to Hitler, *Imperium* has been described by fascists and liberals alike as "America's *Mein Kampf*".



As this advert from Goodreads shows us, however, Yockey wrote much more than the neo-Nazi tomes for which he is best known. Himself bisexual, Yockey also wrote lesbian and sado-masochistic porn novels. He churned these out under the pen-name John Priapus, using the name of the pagan Greek god much admired by generations of homosexuals for his huge and perpetual erection.



Yockey sold his porn to Edward Mishkin. Known as “the sultan of Smut”, Mishkin was a New York Jew. who was one of America’s wealthiest and most notorious pornographers from the 1950s through to the seventies.



Repeatedly jailed under obscenity laws, Mishkin took an appeal against a three-year prison sentence in 1966 all the way to the Supreme Court, where he lost. Among the books seized and presented as evidence in that trial was Yockey’s crudely illustrated, lesbian S&M, trash booklet, *Arduous Figure Training at Bondhaven*.

Writing for Jewish porn moguls wasn't Yockey's only source of income, his FBI files record that he also made money as both a pimp and a gigolo.

Yockey's strident anti-Americanism led to a brief association with Sir Oswald Mosely, who punched his nose during a row in Hyde Park, after the notoriously paranoid and quarrelsome Yockey accused Britain's best-known fascist of working for the American secret service.

To be fair, some of Yockey's political writings do have merit, and his position on the dangers posed by the US Deep State to Europe was later shared by serious and genuinely traditionalist thinkers such as de Benoist, Faye and Dugin. There is, however, no evidence that the highly-strung American actually influenced any of them.

The crux of the matter, however, is that there is nothing in any of Yockey's writings which could in any way help a young nationalist prepare for or respond to the challenges of surviving and advancing the Cause in 2022 Britain.

All this ideological posturing is nothing more than political masturbation - it's totally sterile, time-wasting and completely unproductive. Promoting such books simply hands the liberal media and the repressive arms of the British state yet more sticks with which to beat the patriotic movement and its members.

To promote a childless, bi-sexual, pornographer and suicidal Nazi as a role model and intellectual guru is to send a truly appalling message to impressionable young nationalists. So much for PA's "family values"! So Collett has given his response to this warning document - by doubling down on the promotion of extremism, violence and the attempted normalization of homosexuality for which PA's leadership was criticised in the first place.

There can therefore not be a shred of doubt that Collett knows exactly what he is doing. It is now all too clear that he is doing it deliberately, cold-bloodedly, and at the behest of state operatives who have assured him that he will be both rewarded and protected for leading the movement to darkness and death. Any who still stay with him after this, deserve everything they get!